

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,096	KENDALL ET AL.
	Examiner Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1732

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Scott Chapple

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

that of record (as would be applied to the new claims.)

Claims discussed:

24-46

Prior art documents discussed:

that of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Amdt. approved. Amendment was proposed to only improve claim clarity with respect to the "cross-linked matrix".

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)